PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 03.07.2003 PCT/EP2004/007209 02.07.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G01N33/22, G01N31/12, G01N25/38 Applicant THERMO ELECTRON CORPORATION This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

<u>a</u>))

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Joyce, D

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3093



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/007209

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	la	☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. type	of material:					
		a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. form	b. format of material:					
in written format							
in computer readable form							
	c time of filing-furnishing:						
☐ contained in the international application as filed.		contained in the international application as filed.					
П		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		turnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						
4.	4. Additional comments:						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/007209

	Box No.	II Priority						
1.	☑ The	The following document has not been furnished:						
	٥	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).						
	C] translation	of the earlier appl	lication whos	e priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).			
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.							
2.	has	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
3.	Additional observations, if necessary:							
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1.	Stateme							
	Novelty	(N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1,2,4-7,9,10,11,12,15,16,18,19,26,27			
	Inventive step (IS)		Yes:	Claims	3,20,			
			No:	Claims	8,13,14,17,21-25,			
	Industrial applicability (IA)		(IA) Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-27			

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

Reference is mnade to the following documents:

D1: EP 0 280 335 A (PERKIN ELMER CORP) 31 August 1988 (1988-08-31)

D2: DE 198 36 215 A (ELEMENTAR ANALYSENSYSTEME GMBH) 18 February

1999 (1999-02-18)

CLARITY ART 6 PCT:

1.0 Prior to assessing novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability of the claimed subject-matter, it is noted that the sole independent claim is unclear in the sense of article 6 PCT.1.

The current set of claims comprises 4 independent claims namely independent method claims 1 and 26 and apparatus claims 11 and 27. Although drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness. Moreover, lack of clairty of the claims as a whole arises, since the plurality of independent claims makes it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, placing an undue burden on others seeking to establish the extent of the protection (Rule 6.1(a)), but also raises doubts regarding the requirement of unity of invention, Rule 13 PCT.

Hence the above mentioned independent claims do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, as interpreted according to examing practice of the European Patent Office (EPO) cf., PCT/GL/ISPE/1 (5.42).

NOVELTY ART 33(2) PCT:

2.0 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

A method for analysing a sample comprising combusting the sample in a combustion chamber to produce combustion products (Col 3 line 36-40) collecting the combustion products from the combustion chamber into a reservoir (Col 3 line 12-13)

providing the combustion products from the reservoir to a measuring chamber

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/007209

and measuring at least one property of the combustion products in the measuring chamber (Col 5 line 42-47).

- 2.1 The same reasoning applies mutatis mutandis to corresponding apparatus claim 11 and therefore this claim is also seen to be lacking novelty.
- 2.2 Dependent claims 2, 4-7, 9, 10, 12, 15, 16, 18, 19, 26, 27 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).